

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/726,299	12/01/2003	Mark L. Anderson	01639.000014.	6104	
5514 75	590 02/28/2005		EXAMINER		
	K CELLA HARPER &	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/726,299	ANDERSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,10,12,15 and 19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,10,12,15 and 19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, <i>,</i>				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>December 1, 2003</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,299

Art Unit: 1654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of species, grape seed extract, election in the reply filed on January 11, 2005 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claims Pending

Applicant has cancelled claims 3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13-14, 16-18 without prejudice. Claims 1-2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 15 and 19 will be examined on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the term "substantially free" is vague and indefinite as to what the Applicant is meaning. How "substantially free" must the composition be to meet the limitation of the claim. Clarification is needed.

In claims 4 and 10, the recitation "fatty acid derived" is vague and indefinite as it is not defined in the specification.

In claims 4 and 10, the recitation "modified" is vague and indefinite as it is not defined in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/726,299

Art Unit: 1654

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 8, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Cals-Grierson (WO 01/82887 A1- translation being provided in US 2003/0165589 A1).

A topical composition comprising grape seed extract with a method of treating acne.

Cals-Grierson (WO 01/82887 A1) discloses using *Vitis vinifera* (grape) extract with the intended use for treating acne ([0022] and [0030]). The composition can be applied topically to the skin ([0034] and [0061]). The extract is obtained from parts of the plant such as the seeds ([0038]). The inactive carriers can be surfactants, thickeners, silica, fatty acids and carbomers ([0069], [0073], [0076] and [0078]) (see also claims 23 and 26).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 12, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cals-Grierson (WO 01/82887 A1- translation being provided in US 2003/0165589 A1).

The reference is relied upon for the reasons discussed *supra*. However, Cals-Grierson does not disclose applying the composition to the skin 1 to 3 times a day.

The reference also does not specifically teach applying the ingredient to the skin in the number of times (i.e. 1 to 3 times a day) as claimed by Applicant. The application of a specific ingredient in a composition is clearly a result effective parameter that a person of ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan of ordinary skill to determine the optimal application to the skin in order to best achieve the desired results. Thus, absent some demonstration of unexpected results from the

Application/Control Number: 10/726,299 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

claimed parameters, this optimization of application to the skin would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's invention.

Thus the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the reference, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

### Summary

No claim is allowed.

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2-18-15 SUSAND COE PATENT EXAMINER